



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

09/185,732

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/185,732	11/04/98	BARRUAS	T 09125/001001

HJM11/0917

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1654

5

DATE MAILED:

09/17/99

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel) have signed or affixed their names to this summary. A copy of this form will be provided to the other signatory. If the interview has been concluded before the signature of the last signatory, the first signatory should mail the form to the Office. If additional time is required by the signatory to affix his or her signature, the interview may be considered concluded at the time when the form is mailed to the Office.

(1) John Gagel(3) Chris Bellon(2) Jeffrey E. Russell

(4)

Date of Interview September 13, 1999Type: Telephonic Personal (copy is given to applicant applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes No If yes, brief description:Agreement was reached. was not reached.Claim(s) discussed: AllIdentification of prior art discussed: All

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed Office action mailed April 30, 1999. Continued on 9-14-99. New matter rejection concerning "albumin" is withdrawn. Discussed Ralston Purina case with respect to seal strength and burst pressure limitations and new matter rejection. Discussed receptive rejection, whether reissue claims are to be compared with patented claims or with claims cancelled or amended in the patent application. Discussed OAI and adhesion vs. curing/crosslinking. OAI does not intend to crosslink on or in the presence of tissue. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.